arXiv:0801.1183v1 [math.AC] 8 Jan 2008

Rings over which the class of Gorenstein flat modules is closed under extensions

Driss Bennis

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez,
Box 2202, University S. M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco,

driss_bennis@hotmail.com

Abstract. A ring R is called left GF-closed, if the class of all Gorenstein flat left R-modules is closed under extensions. The class of left GF-closed rings includes strictly the one of right coherent rings and the one of rings of finite weak dimension.

In this paper, we investigate the Gorenstein flat dimension over left GF-closed rings. Namely, we generalize the fact that the class of all Gorenstein flat left modules is projectively resolving over right coherent rings to left GF-closed rings. Also, we generalize the characterization of Gorenstein flat left modules (then of Gorenstein flat dimension of left modules) over right coherent rings to left GF-closed rings. Finally, using direct products of rings, we show how to construct a left GF-closed ring that is neither right coherent nor of finite weak dimension.

Key Words. Gorenstein flat dimension; GF-closed rings; direct products of rings.

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper all rings are associative with identity, and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. The injective (resp., flat) dimension of M is denoted by $\mathrm{id}_R(M)$ (resp., $\mathrm{fd}_R(M)$). By $\mathrm{wdim}(R)$ we denote the weak dimension of R; i.e., the supremum of the flat dimensions of all R-modules.

We say that M is *Gorenstein flat*, if there exists an exact sequence of flat left R-modules,

$$\cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to F^0 \to F^1 \to \cdots ,$$

such that $M \cong \mathrm{Im}(F_0 \to F^0)$ and such that $I \otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact whenever I is an injective right R-module.

We use $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ to denote the class of all Gorenstein flat left R-modules.

For a positive integer n, we say that M has *Gorenstein flat dimension* at most n, and we write $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) \leq n$, if M has a Gorenstein flat resolution of length n; that is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to G_n \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0,$$

where each G_i is Gorenstein flat left R-module (please see [6, 8, 10]).

The notion of Gorenstein flat modules was introduced and studied over Gorenstein rings, by Enochs, Jenda, and Torrecillas [9], as a generalization of the notion of flat modules in the sense that an R-module is flat if and only if it is Gorenstein flat with finite flat dimension [1]. In [5], Chen and Ding generalized known characterizations of Gorenstein flat modules (then of the Gorenstein flat dimension) over Gorenstein rings to n-FC rings (coherent with finite self-FP-injective dimension). And in [10], Holm relies on the use of character modules over coherent rings to translate results for Gorenstein injective modules to the setting of Gorenstein flat modules; and so he has generalized the study of the Gorenstein flat dimension to coherent rings.

In this context, we enlarge the class of rings over which the Gorenstein flat dimension is well-behaved. Namely, we investigate the Gorenstein flat dimension over a new class of rings which we call left GF-closed: a ring R is called left GF-closed if the class $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ of all Gorenstein flat left R-modules is closed under extensions (Definition 2.1). The class of left GF-closed rings includes strictly the one of right coherent rings and also the one of rings of finite weak dimension (see the note after Proposition 2.2).

The main results are in Section 2. First, we investigate the behavior of Gorenstein flat modules in short exact sequences. Recall the following:

Definitions 1.1 Let R be a ring and let $\mathscr{X}$ be a class of left R-modules.

1. We say that the class $\mathscr{X}$ is *closed under extensions*, if for every short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$, the condition A and C are in $\mathscr{X}$ implies that B is in $\mathscr{X}$.
2. We say that the class $\mathscr{X}$ is *closed under kernels of epimorphisms*, if for every short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$, the condition B and C are in $\mathscr{X}$ implies that A is in $\mathscr{X}$.
3. The class $\mathscr{X}$ is said to be *projectively resolving*, if it contains all projective left R-modules, and it is closed under both extensions and kernels of epimorphisms; that is, for every short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ with $C \in \mathscr{X}$, the conditions $A \in \mathscr{X}$ and $B \in \mathscr{X}$ are equivalent.

As a generalization of [10, Theorem 3.7], we show, over left GF-closed rings, that the class $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ is projectively resolving and closed under direct summands (see Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.6). Then, we generalize the characterization of Gorenstein flat dimension over right coherent rings [10, Theorem 3.14] to left GF-closed rings. We end Section 2 with a generalization of [10, Theorem 3.14], which investigate the behavior of Gorenstein flat dimension in short exact sequences (see Theorem 2.11)

In Section 3, we study the Gorenstein flat dimension in direct products of rings. The main result is the following (Theorem 3.4):

Theorem. *Let* $R = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ *be a direct product of rings and let* $N = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_n$ *be a decomposition of a left* R*-module* N *into left* R_i*-modules. Then,* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(N) = \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_{R_i}(N_i) \,|\, i = 0, ..., n\}$.

This enables us to construct a class of left GF-closed rings which are neither right coherent nor of finite weak dimension (Example 3.6).

---

[1] This also holds over coherent rings (see [1, note after Proposition 3.6]).

2 Gorenstein flat dimension over left GF-closed rings

In this section, we investigate the Gorenstein flat dimension over the following kind of rings:

Definition 2.1 A ring R is said to be *left GF-closed*, if $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ is closed under extensions.

Recall that a ring R is called *right coherent*, if every finitely generated right ideal I is finitely presented; that is there exists an exact sequence of right R-modules $F_1 \to F_0 \to I \to 0$, where each F_i is finitely generated free.

Proposition 2.2 *1. Every right coherent ring is left GF-closed.*

2. Every ring with finite weak dimension is left GF-closed.

Proof. 1. Follows by [10, Theorem 3.7].
2. Let R be a ring such that $\mathrm{wdim}(R) \leq n$ for some positive integer n. We show that the class of all Gorenstein flat left R-modules and the class of all flat left R-modules agree [2]. Indeed, consider a Gorenstein flat left R-module G. Then, by definition, there exists an exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to G \to F^0 \to F^1 \to \cdots \to F^{n-1} \to N \to 0$, where each F^i is a flat left R-module. By hypothesis, $\mathrm{fd}_R(N) \leq n$, and therefore G is flat, as desired. ■

It is well-known that there exist right coherent (then left GF-closed) rings with infinite weak dimension (see for example [3, Exercise 11 (f), p. 181] or simply take a Gorenstein ring, then Noetherian, with infinite weak dimension). Also, there exist rings of finite weak dimension which are not right coherent. This means that there exist left GF-closed rings which are not right coherent (take for instance a non-semihereditary ring R with $\mathrm{wdim}(R) \leq 1$, see for example [11, Example 2.3]). So, the class of left GF-closed rings includes strictly the one of right coherent rings and the one of rings of finite weak dimension. In the next section, we show that there exist a left GF-closed ring which is neither coherent nor of finite weak dimension (Example 3.6). Namely, one would like to have **every ring is left GF-closed**.

We begin with the following fundamental result, which is, with Corollary 2.6, a generalization of [10, Theorem 3.7].

Theorem 2.3 *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:*

1. *R is left GF-closed.*
2. *The class $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ is projectively resolving.*
3. *For every short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to G_1 \to G_0 \to M \to 0$, where G_0 and G_1 are Gorenstein flat. If $Tor_1^R(I, M) = 0$ for all injective right R-modules I, then M is Gorenstein flat.*

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.4 *The following are equivalent for a left R-module M:*

1. *M is Gorenstein flat.*
2. *M satisfies the two following conditions:*

---

[2] Compare to [1, Corollary 3.8] in which the rings are assumed to be commutative.

(i) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I,M)=0$ *for all* $i>0$ *and all injective right* R*-modules* I*, and*

(ii) There exists an exact sequence of left R*-modules* $0\to M\to F^0\to F^1\to\cdots$*, where each* F_i *is flat, such that* $I\otimes_R -$ *leaves the sequence exact whenever* I *is an injective right* R*-module.*

3. *There exists a short exact sequence of left* R*-modules* $0\to M\to F\to G\to 0$*, where* F *is flat and* G *is Gorenstein flat.*

Proof. Using the definition of Gorenstein flat modules, the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) is obtained by standard argument. Also, by definition, we get immediately the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3).
We prove the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Suppose that there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$(\alpha)=\qquad 0\to M\to F\to G\to 0,$$

where F is flat and G is Gorenstein flat. Let I be an injective right R-module. Since G is Gorenstein flat and by the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2), $\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(I,G)=0$ for all $i\geq 0$. Then, use the long exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(I,G)\to\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I,M)\to\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I,F),$$

to get $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I,M)=0$ for all $i>0$.
On the other hand, since G is Gorenstein flat, there is an exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$(\beta)=\qquad 0\to G\to F^0\to F^1\to\cdots,$$

where each F_i is flat, such that $I\otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact whenever I is an injective right R-module. Assembling the sequences (α) and (β), we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & F \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & F^0 \arrow[r] & F^1 \arrow[r] & \cdots \\
& & & G \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & & \\
& & 0 \arrow[ur] & & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $I\otimes_R -$ leaves the upper exact sequence exact whenever I is an injective right R-module, as desired. ■

Lemma 2.5 *Let* $0\to A\to B\to C\to 0$ *be a short exact sequence of left* R*-modules. If* A *is Gorenstein flat and* C *is flat, then* B *is Gorenstein flat.*

Proof. Since A is Gorenstein flat, there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0\to A\to F\to G\to 0$, where F is flat and G is Gorenstein flat. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dashed] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & F' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] & 0 \\
& G \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] & & \\
& 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the sequence $0 \to F \to F' \to C \to 0$, both F and C are flat, hence so is F'. Then, by the middle vertical sequence and from Lemma 2.4, B is Gorenstein flat, as desired. ■

In the following proof, M^* stands for the character module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ of a module M.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. (1) ⇒ (2). To claim that the class $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ is projectively resolving, it suffices to prove that it is closed under kernels of epimorphisms (see Definitions 1.1). Then, consider a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$, where B and C are Gorenstein flat. We prove that A is Gorenstein flat. Since B is Gorenstein flat, there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to B \to F \to G \to 0$, where F is flat and G is Gorenstein flat. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dashed] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & G \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] & \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the right vertical sequence and since R is left GF-closed, the R-module D is Gorenstein flat. Therefore, by the middle horizontal sequence and Lemma 2.4, A is Gorenstein flat, as desired.
(1) ⇒ (3). Since G_1 is Gorenstein flat, there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to G_1 \to F_1 \to H \to 0$, where F_1 is flat and H is Gorenstein flat. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & G_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dashed] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_1 \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & H \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & H \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the short exact sequence $0 \to G_0 \to D \to H \to 0$ both G_0 and H are Gorenstein flat, then so is D (since R is left GF-closed). Then, there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules

$0 \to D \to F \to G \to 0$, where F is flat and G is Gorenstein flat. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dashed] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & F' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & G \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] & \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We show that F' is flat. Consider the sequence $0 \to M \to F' \to G \to 0$. Let I be an injective right R-module. By the exact sequence,

$$0 = \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(I, M) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(I, F') \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(I, G) = 0,$$

we get

$$(*) \qquad \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(I, F') = 0.$$

On the other hand, consider the sequence $0 \to F_1 \to F \to F' \to 0$. By [12, Lemma 3.51], we have the following short exact sequence of character modules:

$$(\beta) = \qquad 0 \to (F')^* \to F^* \to (F_1)^* \to 0.$$

From [12, Theorem 3.52], F^* and $(F_1)^*$ are injective right R-modules. Then, by $(*)$ and from [4, Proposition 5.1, p. 120],

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^1((F_1)^*, (F')^*) \cong (\mathrm{Tor}_1^R((F_1)^*, F'))^* = 0.$$

Then, the sequence (β) splits, and so $(F')^*$ is injective being a direct summand of the injective right R-module F^*. Therefore, F' is a flat left R-module (by [12, Theorem 3.52]).

Finally, by Lemma 2.4 and the short exact sequence $0 \to M \to F' \to G \to 0$, M is Gorenstein flat.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Consider a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$, where A and C are Gorenstein flat. We prove that B is Gorenstein flat. Let I be an injective right R-module. Applying the functor $I \otimes_R -$ to the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$, we get the long exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, A) \to \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, B) \to \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, C).$$

Then, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, B) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ (since A and C are Gorenstein flat and by Lemma 2.4).

On the other hand, since C is Gorenstein flat, there exists, by definition, a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to G \to F \to C \to 0$, where F is flat and C is Gorenstein flat. Consider the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & G \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & D \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d, dashed] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Also, since A is Gorenstein flat, there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to F' \to G' \to 0$, where F' is flat and G' is Gorenstein flat. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D \arrow[r] \arrow[d, dashed] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F' \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & D' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & G' \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & G' \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In the short exact sequence $0 \to F' \to D' \to F \to 0$ both F' and F are flat, then so is D'. Then, by the short exact sequence $0 \to D \to D' \to G' \to 0$ and from Lemma 2.4, D is Gorenstein flat. Finally, consider the sort exact sequence $0 \to G \to D \to B \to 0$. We have G and D are Gorenstein flat, and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, B) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all injective right R-modules I. Therefore, by (3), B is Gorenstein flat. This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 2.6 *If R is a left GF-closed ring, then the class $\mathscr{G}\mathscr{F}(R)$ is closed under direct summands.*

Proof. Use [10, Propositions 1.4 and 3.2] and Theorem 2.3. ■

Remark 2.7 The Gorenstein projective left modules have a similar characterization to the one of the Gorenstein flat left modules in Lemma 2.4. Explicitly, a left R-module M is Gorenstein projective if and only if there exists a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to M \to P \to G \to 0$, where P is projective and G is Gorenstein projective. Thus, similar argument to the one of the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) of Theorem 2.3 gives a new proof to the fact that the class of all Gorenstein projective left modules is projectively resolving (see [10, Theorem 2.5] and its proof).

Now, we give functorial descriptions of Gorenstein flat dimension over left GF-closed rings. This generalizes [10, Theorem 3.14] which is proved for right coherent rings.

Theorem 2.8 *Let R be a ring and let M be a left R-module. If R is left GF-closed. Then, the following are equivalent for a positive integer n:*

1. $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) \leq n$;
2. $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) < \infty$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, M) = 0$ *for all* $i > n$ *and all injective right R-modules I;*
3. $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) < \infty$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(E, M) = 0$ *for all* $i > n$ *and all right R-modules E with* $\mathrm{id}_R(E) < \infty$;
4. *For every exact sequence of left R-modules* $0 \to K_n \to G_{n-1} \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0$, *if each G_i is Gorenstein flat, then so is K_n.*

Furthermore, if $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) < \infty$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) &= \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \,|\, \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(E, M) \neq 0 \ \textit{for some } E \textit{ with } \mathrm{id}_R(E) < \infty\} \\ &= \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \,|\, \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, M) \neq 0 \ \textit{for some injective right } R\textit{−module } I\}.\end{aligned}$$

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9 *Let M be a left R-module and consider two exact sequences of left R-modules,*

$$\begin{aligned}&0 \to G_n \to G_{n-1} \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0, \ \textit{and} \\ &0 \to H_n \to H_{n-1} \to \cdots \to H_0 \to M \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

where $G_0, ..., G_{n-1}$ and $H_0, ..., H_{n-1}$ are Gorenstein flat. If R is left GF-closed, then G_n is Gorenstein flat if and only if H_n is Gorenstein flat.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.6, and [10, Proposition 3.2], the proof is similar to the one of [6, Theorem 1.2.7 $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$]. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.8. First, note that the last equalities follow immediately from the equivalences between (1), (2), and (3). Note also that the equivalence (1) ⇔ (4) is simply obtained by Lemma 2.9 above and by the definition of the Gorenstein flat dimension. Then, it remains to prove the equivalences (1) ⇔ (2) ⇔ (3).
(1) ⇒ (2). We proceed by induction on n. We may assume that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M) = n$. The case $n = 0$ holds from Lemma 2.4. Then, suppose that $n \geq 1$. So, there exists, by the definition of the Gorenstein flat dimension, a short exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$0 \to K \to G \to M \to 0,$$

where G is Gorenstein flat, such that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(K) = n - 1$. Then, for an injective right R-module I, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, G) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ (by Lemma 2.4), and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, K) = 0$ for all $i > n - 1$ (by induction). Then, we use the long exact sequence,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(I, G) \to \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(I, M) \to \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, K),$$

to conclude that $\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(I, M) = 0$ for all $i > n - 1$, as desired.
(2) ⇒ (3). Easy by induction on $\mathrm{id}_R(E)$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M)$ is finite and by Lemma 2.9, we may pick, for some positive integer $m > n$, an exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$0 \to G_m \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0,$$

where $G_0, ..., G_{m-1}$ are flat and G_m is Gorenstein flat. Let $K_n = \mathrm{Ker}(G_{n-1} \to G_{n-2})$. Our aim is to prove that K_n is Gorenstein flat. We decompose the sequence

$$0 \to G_m \to \cdots \to G_n \to K_n \to 0$$

into short exact sequences:

$$0 \to H_{i+1} \to G_i \to H_i \to 0$$

for $i = n, ..., m-1$, where $H_n = K_n$ and $H_m = G_m$. Consider the short exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$0 \to H_m (= G_m) \to G_{m-1} \to H_{m-1} \to 0.$$

We claim that H_{m-1} is Gorenstein flat. By the exact sequence:

$$0 \to H_{m-1} \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0,$$

we have, for every injective right R-module I and every $i > 0$:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, H_{m-1}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{(m-1)+i}^R(I, M) = 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.3 (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3), H_{m-1} is Gorenstein flat.
Finally, we repeat successively this last argument to conclude that $H_{m-2}, ..., H_n = K_n$ are Gorenstein flat, This completes the proof. ■

Next generalizes [10, Proposition 3.13] which is proved over right coherent rings using the connection that exists between Gorenstein flat dimension and Gorenstein injective dimension [10, Proposition 3.11].

Proposition 2.10 *If R is a left GF-closed ring, then for a family of left R-modules $(M_i)_{i\in I}$, we have:*

$$\mathrm{Gfd}_R(\oplus_{i\in I} M_i) = \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_R(M_i) \mid i \in I\}.$$

Proof. Using Theorem 2.8, [10, Proposition 3.2], and the fact that over a left GF-closed ring R the class $\mathscr{GF}(R)$ is closed under direct summands (Corollary 2.6), the proof is analogous to the one of [10, Proposition 2.19]. ■

We end with the following generalization of [10, Theorem 3.15]. Namely, we extend the standards (in)equalities for the flat dimension; compare to [3, Corollary 2, p. 135].

Theorem 2.11 *If R is a left GF-closed ring, then for a short exact sequence of left R-modules $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$, we have:*

1. *If any two of the modules A, B, or C have finite Gorenstein flat dimension, then so has the third.*
2. $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(A) \leq \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B), \mathrm{Gfd}_R(C) - 1\}$ *with equality if* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B) \neq \mathrm{Gfd}_R(C)$.
3. $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B) \leq \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_R(A), \mathrm{Gfd}_R(C)\}$ *with equality if* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(C) \neq \mathrm{Gfd}_R(A) + 1$.

4. $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(C) \leq \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B), \mathrm{Gfd}_R(A)+1\}$ *with equality if* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B) \neq \mathrm{Gfd}_R(A)$.

Proof. First note that, using the statement (1) and Theorem 2.8, the statements (2), (3), and (4) are proved similarly to [3, Corollary 2, p. 135].

We prove (1). Let $\cdots \to A_1 \to A_0 \to A \to 0$ and $\cdots \to C_1 \to C_0 \to C \to 0$ be projective resolutions of, respectively, A and C. Then, by the Horseshoe lemma [12, Lemma 6.20], we get the following commutative diagram, for any positive integer n:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & H_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & \vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] & \vdots \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & A_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A_0 \oplus C_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

There are three cases:

Case 1: Assume that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(A) \leq n$ and $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(C) \leq n$ for some positive integer n. Then, by Theorem 2.8, H_n and L_n are Gorenstein flat, hence so is K_n (since R is left GF-closed). This means that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B) \leq n$, as desired.

Case 2: Assume that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B) \leq n$ and $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(C) \leq n$ for some positive integer n. So K_n and L_n are Gorenstein flat, hence so is H_n (by Theorem 2.3). This means that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(A) \leq n$, as desired.

Case 3: Assume that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(A) \leq n$ and $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(B) \leq n$ for some positive integer n. Then, H_n and K_n are Gorenstein flat. Assembling the sequences $0 \to L_n \to C_{n-1} \to \cdots \to C_0 \to C \to 0$ and $0 \to H_n \to K_n \to L_n \to 0$, we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to H_n \to K_n \to C_{n-1} \to \cdots \to C_0 \to C \to 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(C) \leq n+1$, as desired. ■

3 Gorenstein flat dimension in direct products of rings

Our aim, in this section, is to show, via a study of the Gorenstein flat dimension of left modules over a direct product of rings, how to construct a left GF-closed ring that is neither right coherent nor of finite weak dimension.

For the convenience of the reader, we first recall some properties concerning the structure of modules and homomorphisms over direct products of rings (for more details please see [2, Section 2.6]).

Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a direct product of rings. If M_i is a left (resp., right) R_i-module for $i = 1, ..., n$, then $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ is a left (resp., right) R-module. Conversely, if M is a left (resp., right) R-module, then it is of the form $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$, where M_i is a left (resp., right) R_i-module for $i = 1, ..., n$ [2, Subsection 2.6.6]. Also, the homomorphisms of R-modules are determined by their actions on the R_i-module components. This is summarized in the following result:

Theorem 3.1 ([2], Theorem 2.6.8) *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a direct product of rings and let $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ and $N = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_n$ be decompositions of left (resp., right) R-modules into left (resp., right) R_i-modules. Then, the following hold:*

1. *There is a natural isomorphism of abelian groups:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) & \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Hom}_{R_1}(M_1, N_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{R_n}(M_n, N_n) \\ \alpha & \longmapsto & \alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_n \end{array}$$

where the homomorphism $\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_n$ is defined by:

$$(\alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_n)(m_1, ..., m_n) = (\alpha_1 m_1, ..., \alpha_n m_n).$$

2. *The homomorphism α is injective (resp., surjective) if and only if each α_i is injective (resp., surjective).*

Similarly, the tensor product of modules over a finite direct product of rings is determined as follows:

Proposition 3.2 *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ be a direct product of rings and let $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ (resp., $N = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_n$) be a decomposition of a right (resp., left) R-module into right (resp., left) R_i-modules. Let $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ be elements of M and N, respectively. Then, there is a natural isomorphism of abelian groups:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_R N & \overset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & (M_1 \otimes_{R_1} N_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_n \otimes_{R_n} N_n) \\ x \otimes_R y & \longmapsto & (x_1 \otimes_{R_1} y_1, ..., x_n \otimes_{R_n} y_n). \end{array}$$

This may be used to give a proof of the following result.

Proposition 3.3 *Let R and N be as in Proposition 3.2. Then,*

$$\mathrm{fd}_R(N) = \sup\{\mathrm{fd}_{R_i}(N_i) \mid i = 0, ..., n\}.$$

Now, we state the main result in this section.

Theorem 3.4 *Let R and N be as in Proposition 3.2. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Gfd}_R(N) = \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_{R_i}(N_i) \mid i = 0, ..., n\}.$$

Proof. First, as a consequence of Theorem 3.1, Propositions 3.2 and 3.3, and the fact that a right R-module $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_n$ is injective if and only if each E_i is an injective right R_i-module (by [2, Exercise 2.6.5]), we get the equivalence: N is a Gorenstein flat left R-module if and only if each N_i is a Gorenstein flat left R_i-module.
Now, we prove the desired equality. First, we prove the inequality $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(N) \leq \sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_{R_i}(N_i) \,|\, i = 0,...,n\}$. For that, we may assume that $\sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_{R_i}(N_i) \,|\, i = 0,...,n\} = m$ for some positive integer m. Then, there exists, for $i = 1,...,n$, an exact sequence of left R_i-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{m,i} \overset{\alpha_{m,i}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \longrightarrow F_{0,i} \overset{\alpha_{0,i}}{\longrightarrow} N_i \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $F_{j,i}$ is Gorenstein flat for $j = 0,...,m$. Then, we get an exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_m \overset{\alpha_m}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \overset{\alpha_0}{\longrightarrow} N \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $F_j = F_{j,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_{j,n}$ for $j = 0,...,m$. Since every $F_{j,i}$ is a Gorenstein flat left R_i-module, every F_j is a Gorenstein flat left R-module (by the reason above). This implies the first inequality.
Now, we prove the converse inequality. For that, we may assume that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(N) = m$ for some positive integer m. By Theorem 3.1, we have an exact sequence of left R-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \oplus_i F_{m,i} \overset{\oplus_i \alpha_{m,i}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \longrightarrow \oplus_i F_{0,i} \overset{\oplus_i \alpha_{0,i}}{\longrightarrow} N = \oplus_i N_i \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\oplus_i F_{j,i}$ is a Gorenstein flat left R-module for $j = 1,...,m$. So, by the reason above, each $F_{j,i}$ is a Gorenstein flat left R_i-module for $i = 1,...,n$ and $j = 1,...,m$. Then, for $i = 1,...,n$, we have an exact sequence of left R_i-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{m,i} \overset{\alpha_{m,i}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \longrightarrow F_{0,i} \overset{\alpha_{0,i}}{\longrightarrow} N_i \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $F_{j,i}$ is Gorenstein flat for $j = 0,...,m$. Thus, for $i = 1,...,n$, $\mathrm{Gfd}_{R_i}(N_i) \leq m$. Therefore, $\sup\{\mathrm{Gfd}_{R_i}(N_i) \,|\, i = 0,...,n\} \leq m = \mathrm{Gfd}_R(N)$. This completes the proof. ■

Now, we are in position to give the desired example. For that, we need the following result.

Proposition 3.5 *A direct product of rings* $\prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ *is a left GF-closed ring if and only if each* R_i *is left GF-closed.*

Proof. Simply combine Theorem 3.1 with Theorem 3.4. ■

Finally, the results above give as a method to construct examples of left GF-closed rings which are neither right coherent nor of finite weak dimension, as follows:

Example 3.6 From the note after Proposition 2.2, we may have a left GF-closed ring R with infinite weak dimension and a left GF-closed ring S which is not right coherent. Then, for the direct product of rings $\Gamma = R \times S$ we have:

1. Γ is left GF-closed (by Proposition 3.5).
2. $\mathrm{wdim}(\Gamma) = \sup\{\mathrm{wdim}(R), \mathrm{wdim}(S)\} = \infty$ (for the left equality use Proposition 3.3).
3. Γ is not right coherent (since using Theorem 3.1 we may prove that a product of rings $R_1 \times R_2$ is right coherent if and only if each R_i is right coherent[3].)

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee for the valuable comments and suggestions.

---

[3] This equivalence is a particular case, in commutative setting, of [7, Theorem 2.13].

References

[1] Bennis, D., Mahdou, N. (2007). Strongly Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat modules. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 210:437-445.

[2] Berrick, A. J., Keating, M. E. (2000). *An Introduction to Rings and Modules*. Cambridge University Press 65.

[3] Bourbaki, N. (1980). *Algèbre Homologique*. Chapitre 10. Paris: Masson.

[4] Cartan, H., Eilenberg, S. (1956). *Homological Algebra*. Princeton University Press.

[5] Chen, J., Ding, N. (1996). Coherent rings with finite self-FP-injective dimension. *Comm. Algebra* 24(9):2963-2980.

[6] Christensen, L. W. (2000). *Gorenstein Dimensions*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1747. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

[7] Dobbs, D. E., Kabbaj, S. E., Mahdou, N. (1997). n-Coherent Rings and Modules. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 185. New York:Marcel Dekker, Inc., pp. 269-281.

[8] Enochs, E. E., Jenda, O. M. G. (2000). *Relative Homological Algebra*. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics. Vol. 30. Walter de Gruyter.

[9] Enochs, E. E., Jenda, O. M. G., Torrecillas, B. (1993). Gorenstein flat modules. *Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan* 10(1):1-9.

[10] Holm, H. (2004). Gorenstein homological dimensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 189:167-193.

[11] Kabbaj, S. E., Mahdou, N. (2004). Trivial extensions defined by coherent-like conditions. *Comm. Algebra* 32:3937-3953.

[12] Rotman, J. J. (1979). *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. Pure and Appl. Math. 25. Academic press.